# Pupil premium strategy statement – Edgewood Primary School – 2024/2025

This statement details our school's use of pupil premium (and recovery premium) funding to help improve the attainment of our disadvantaged pupils.

It outlines our pupil premium strategy, how we intend to spend the funding in this academic year and the outcomes for disadvantaged pupils last academic year.

#### School overview

| Detail  | Data                                      |
|---|---|
| Number of pupils in school  | 309 (Excluding nursery = 29)              |
| Proportion (%) of pupil premium eligible pupils                         | 25% (77 pupils)                           |
| Academic year/years that our current pupil premium strategy plan covers | 2024 – 2025<br>2025 – 2026<br>2026 - 2027 |
| Date this statement was published                                       | October 31 <sup>st</sup> 2025             |
| Date on which it will be reviewed                                       | October 31 <sup>st</sup> 2026             |
| Statement authorised by   | E Seeley                                  |
| Pupil premium lead  | L Giles                                   |
| Governor / Trustee lead   | C Blount                                  |

#### **Funding overview**

| Detail  | Amount                              |
|---|-------------------------------------|
| Pupil premium funding allocation this academic year       | £109,520 + £2000 from school budget |
| Pupil premium funding carried forward from previous years | £0                                  |
| Total budget for this academic year                       | £111,520                            |
|   |                                     |

## Part A: Pupil premium strategy plan

#### **Statement of intent**

Our ultimate aim for our disadvantaged pupils is that children in our school who are in receipt of Pupil Premium have outcomes that are in line with their peers. We aim do our best to ensure they are not disadvantaged as a result of their socio-economic context.

Our school is a primary and nursery school catering for children aged 3-11 located in Hucknall, Nottingham. Edgewood is located in the borough of Ashfield which is rated as the second most deprived district of Nottinghamshire. Our school has mixed classes in years 1-6 and has 45 children per year group. It is a larger than average-sized primary schools and most pupils are White British although we are seeing our catchment diversify. There are very few pupils from minority ethnic backgrounds or with English as an additional language but this number is increasing over time. The proportion of children eligible for Pupil Premium is lower than the national average. The proportion of pupils with special educational needs who are also Pupil Premium is 19%.

At Edgewood we try to provide a broad range of opportunities to support both Pupil Premium children's academic progress and emotional wellbeing. To ensure we select the most effective approaches, a range of evidence based research undertaken by a range of sources is consulted. These include The Sutton Trust, The Education Endowment Foundation (EEF) and the Governments own research and case studies. The EEF provide the most comprehensive research but we also use The Sutton Trust to provide an overview of the popular approaches used across other schools.

Our current Pupil Premium strategy works towards achieving our overall aim by:

- Supporting teachers to develop their practise to ensure children receive quality first teaching.
- Providing tailored 1:1 and small group support and interventions from experienced and skilled teaching assistants and teachers/ tutors in a range of core subject areas.
- Purchase additional resources to ensure PP pupils have improved access to strategies.
- Improving parental engagement to enable parents/ carers to support their child's education.
- Putting into place additional strategies to improve attendance to ensure PP children attend school as much as possible.
- Providing opportunities for pupils to participate in enrichment activities to broaden their experiences.

• Provide appropriate pastoral provision to support pupils in their emotional and social development.

Our key principles are as follows:

- To ensure the needs of all pupils are met by high quality teaching and learning opportunities.
- To ensure that the needs of our most vulnerable pupils are identified by class teachers and the Pupil Premium leader through rigorous analysis of data.
- Class teachers (with the support of the Pupil Premium Leader) will identify pupils who need more tailored support and will put into place effective interventions and support to ensure these children are making accelerated progress.
- Alongside academic support, we will ensure that we support the emotional, social and mental health needs by accessing appropriate provision from our pastoral support team.
- To ensure we raise the aspirations of our Pupil Premium pupils by providing them with opportunities to have experiences they may not have done ordinarily.

### Challenges

This details the key challenges to achievement that we have identified among our disadvantaged pupils.

| Challenge<br>number | Detail of challenge  |
|---------------------|--|
| 1                   | Key action on School Development Plan  |
|                     | Raising the attainment of all learners especially in phonics, reading and spelling.<br>Internal and external assessments indicate that attainment in reading, phonics and<br>spelling among disadvantage pupils is lower than that of non-disadvantaged pupils at<br>the end of KS1 and KS2. |
| 2                   | Key action on School Development Plan  |
|                     | Oracy/ speech and language across the school are impacting other areas of the curriculum causing there to be a gap in the attainment of PP and Non PP – specifically in spelling and grammar assessments.  |
| 3                   | Key action on School Development Plan<br>PP pupil's attendance was 92.82% compared to 95.18%% of non PP pupils. This<br>reduces their school hours and can cause them to fall behind their peers.  |

#### **Intended outcomes**

This explains the outcomes we are aiming for **by the end of our current strategy plan**, and how we will measure whether they have been achieved.

| Intended outcome  | Success criteria  |
|---|---|
| Pupil Premium pupils are making better progress<br>than their peers ensuring the gap in these key<br>areas are closing, specifically in phonics, reading<br>and spelling. | Insight tracking and end of year summative data<br>shows in key areas that year on year the Pupil<br>Premium Pupils are making accelerated progress<br>and performing broadly in line with their peers. |
| Attendance for Pupil Premium pupils improves and persistent absence reduces.  | Attendance data indicates that the gap to national closes year on year.   |
| Pupil Premium pupils oracy skills are more in line<br>with their peers and therefore impacting positively<br>on their reading and writing skills.                         | Teachers are confident to support and develop<br>the oracy skills of the children in their class<br>across the curriculum.  |
|   | Lesson observations show that pupils oracy skills are being addressed and are improving consistently.   |
|   | Insight tracking and end of year summative data<br>shows in key areas that year on year the Pupil<br>Premium Pupils are making accelerated progress<br>and performing broadly in line with their peers. |

#### Activity in this academic year

This details how we intend to spend our pupil premium (and recovery premium) funding **this academic year** to address the challenges listed above.

Total to spend £111,520

#### Teaching (for example, CPD, recruitment and retention)

Budgeted cost: £20,849

| Activity                      | approach  |     | Tiered<br>approach to<br>PP spending<br>strand (please<br>refer to<br>numbered<br>sheet) |
|-------------------------------|---|-----|--|
| Pupil Premium Leader<br>£2500 | In previous years we have found<br>that having a dedicated Pupil<br>Premium Leader (with time to<br>carry out this role) has been | All | All  |

| +CPD & release<br>time £1500   | effective in ensuring strategies are<br>able to be put into place and be<br>monitored to ensure effectiveness.  |       |       |
|--|---|-------|-------|
| Voice 21 & release<br>time<br>£9000  | Evidence indicates that 'On<br>average, oral language<br>approaches have a high impact on<br>pupil outcomes of 6 months'<br>additional progress.' EEF                       | 2     | 1 & 2 |
| Staff CPD £4000<br>(Rainbow Grammar,<br>Language Lead<br>Training, Trauma<br>Informed Behaviour).  | 'Evidence indicates that high<br>quality teaching is the most<br>important lever schools have to<br>improve pupil attainment,<br>including for disadvantaged pupils'<br>EEF | 1 & 2 | 1 & 2 |
| Release time for<br>monitoring/ WITLO<br>£3000   | onitoring/ WITLO quality teaching is the most   |       | 1 & 2 |
| Spelling Shed, Maths<br>Shed, and Quiz Shed<br>subscriptions<br>£1500  | <i>ihed, and Quiz Shed</i> on average (+ 5 months)' EEF <i>ubscriptions</i>   |       | 5     |
| Monster Phonics<br>spelling subscription<br>£349'Phonics approaches have been<br>consistently found to be effective<br>in supporting younger pupils to<br>master the basics of reading, with<br>an average impact of an additiona<br>five months' progress. Research<br>suggests that phonics is<br>particularly beneficial for younger<br>learners (4–7 year olds) as they<br>begin to read.' EEF |   | 1     | 5     |

# Targeted academic support (for example, tutoring, one-to-one support, structured interventions)

Budgeted cost: £47,500

| Activity  | Evidence that supports this approach  | Challenge<br>number(s)<br>addressed | Tiered<br>approach to<br>PP spending<br>strand (please<br>refer to<br>numbered<br>sheet) |
|---|---|-------------------------------------|--|
| TA support to run 1:1<br>and group work<br>interventions (1/4 of<br>TA costs) £27,000 | 'Research which focuses on<br>teaching assistants who provide<br>one to one or small group targeted<br>interventions shows a stronger | 1&2                                 | 7  |

|   | positive benefit of between four<br>and six additional months on<br>average. Often interventions are<br>based on a clearly specified<br>approach which teaching<br>assistants have been trained to<br>deliver.' EEF                      |   |   |
|---|--|---|---|
| Teacher run 1:1 and<br>group work tutoring<br>£20,000 | 'Evidence indicates that one to<br>one tuition can be effective,<br>providing approximately five<br>additional months' progress on<br>average.' EEF  | 1 | 6 |
| Revision guides for Yr<br>6 £500                      | In previous years we found that<br>the parents of Pupil Premium<br>children we less likely to purchase<br>revision guides for their children.<br>By purchasing guides for them, we<br>can ensure they have equal<br>access to resources. | 1 | 5 |

#### Wider strategies (for example, related to attendance, behaviour, wellbeing)

#### Budgeted cost: £42,400

| Activity   | Evidence that supports this approach   | Challenge<br>number(s)<br>addressed | Tiered<br>approach to<br>PP spending<br>strand (please<br>refer to<br>numbered<br>sheet) |  |
|--|--|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| Pastoral Support 1/2<br>of costs) £30,000                    |  |                                     | 10   |  |
| Attendance officer –<br>1 day a week – half<br>of cost £4000 | Recommendation from the service manager at Family Services.                              | 3                                   | 11<br>n/a<br>11  |  |
| Cool Milk £2500  | Statutory  | n/a                                 |  |  |
| Attendance rewards<br>£1500                                  | Recommendation from the service manager at Family Services.                              | 3                                   |  |  |
| Science enrichment<br>club £750                              | EEF state that due to limited research aspirational based approaches should be monitored | All                                 | 12   |  |

|   | closely and meet the needs of the particular school.   |     |    |
|---|--|-----|----|
| Author visits & books<br>£1000                    | EEF state that due to limited<br>research aspirational based<br>approaches should be monitored<br>closely and meet the needs of the<br>particular school.            | 1   | 12 |
| Bookmark reading<br>intervention<br>£1000         | 'Reading comprehension strategies<br>are high impact on average (+6<br>months). Alongside phonics it is<br>a crucial component of early<br>reading instruction.' EEF | 1   | 7  |
| Literacy Volunteers<br>£500                       | <sup>6</sup> On average, oral language<br>approaches have a high impact on<br>pupil outcomes of 6 months'<br>additional progress.' EEF                               | 1&2 | 7  |
| University trip £1650                             | EEF state that due to limited<br>research aspirational based<br>approaches should be monitored<br>closely and meet the needs of the<br>particular school.            | 3   | 12 |
| Parent/ carer<br>workshops & release<br>time £500 | 'Parental engagement has a positive impact on average of 4 months' additional progress.' EEF   | 1&2 | 15 |

### Total budgeted cost: £ 111,749

# Part B: Review of the previous academic year

| Г              |                          | r           | r             | i                |                           |
|----------------|--------------------------|-------------|---------------|------------------|---------------------------|
| Intended       |                          | 2023/2024   | 2022/23       | 2021/22          |                           |
| outcome 1:     | Total school attend-     | 95.18%      | 94.51%        | 93.52%           |                           |
| Attendance     | ance                     | 55.1070     | J4.J170       | 55.5270          |                           |
| for Pupil      | Total Persistently Ab-   | 11.88%      | 12.19%        | 20%              |                           |
| Premium        | sent (<90%)              | (36 chil-   | (39 chil-     | (62 children)    |                           |
| pupils         |                          | dren)       | dren)         |                  |                           |
| improves and   | PP Attendance            | 92.82%      | 92.45%        | 91.38%           |                           |
| persistent     | PP Persistently Ab-      | 20.00%      | 21.18%        | 35.14%           |                           |
| absence        | sent (<90%)              | (14 chil-   | (18 chil-     | (26 children)    |                           |
| reduces.       |                          | dren)       | dren)         | Ì í              |                           |
|                | •                        |             |               | •                | the 4 years although the  |
|                | • •                      |             | ldren is stil | l evident, parti | cularly with those who    |
| 0              | are persistently absen   | t.          |               |                  |                           |
| Some           | In addition to alcos to: | oboro ond   | Koy Stars     | Loodo workin     | a to build relationships  |
| progress has   |                          |             | , ,           |                  | g to build relationships  |
| been made      |                          |             |               |                  | cer has proven to make    |
| towards        | a real difference with t | nose who a  | are persiste  | ently absent.    |                           |
| outcome        |                          |             |               |                  |                           |
| however this   |                          |             |               |                  |                           |
| will still     |                          |             |               |                  |                           |
| continue to    |                          |             |               |                  |                           |
| feature on the |                          |             |               |                  |                           |
| SDP alongside  |                          |             |               |                  |                           |
| the Pupil      |                          |             |               |                  |                           |
| Premium        |                          |             |               |                  |                           |
| Strategy.      |                          |             |               |                  |                           |
| Intended       |                          |             |               |                  | ning laggan abaam/atiang  |
| Intended       |                          |             |               |                  | nies, lesson observations |
| outcome 2:     | and pupil interviews th  | •           |               | •                |                           |
| Pupil          | quantity of work by PF   |             |               | -                |                           |
| Premium        | •                        | •           | •             |                  | rk was comparable and     |
| pupils are     |                          | -           |               |                  | isor and our own Pupil    |
| making better  |                          |             |               |                  | nd echoed what the Pupil  |
| progress than  |                          |             |               |                  | during pupil interviews   |
| their peers    | -                        |             |               |                  | ngaged a similar amount   |
| ensuring the   | with their learning and  | their ambit | ions were     | ot a similar lev | /el.                      |
| gap in these   | All class teachers proc  |             | norabla Ing   | dicatore docum   | ant where they            |
| key areas are  |                          |             |               |                  | •                         |
| closing.       | recorded their PP child  | aren (along | SILE LAU,     |                  |                           |
|                |                          |             |               |                  |                           |

## Outcomes for disadvantaged pupils

who would need to be monitored more closely. Teachers used this document throughout the school year to inform their practise.

Some

progress has

been made

however this

reading and spelling will still continue to feature on the SDP alongside

towards

outcome

Phonics.

the Pupil Premium Strategy. EYFS 2024: 50% (2 pupils) of PP pupils in reception achieved a good level of development compared to 61.9% of Non PP. Difference of 11.9%. Better than when compared with Nottinghamshire and broadly in line with comparable groups nationally.
EYFS 2023: 50% of PP pupils in reception achieved a good level of development compared to 64.4%. Difference of 14.4%. Broadly in line with comparable groups locally and nationally.

EYFS 2022: 37.5% of PP pupils in reception achieved a good level of development compared to 61.4% of all pupils combined. Difference of 23.9%

|               | 2022   | 2022  | Difference | 2023   | 2023  | Difference | 2024   | 2024  | Difference |
|---------------|--|---|------------|--|---|------------|--|---|------------|
| End of<br>KS1 | Level of<br>PP pupils<br>achieving<br>expected<br>or above | Level of<br>all pupils<br>achieving<br>expected<br>or above |            | Level of<br>PP pupils<br>achieving<br>expected<br>or above | Level of<br>all pupils<br>achieving<br>expected<br>or above |            | Level of<br>PP pupils<br>achieving<br>expected<br>or above | Level of<br>all pupils<br>achieving<br>expected<br>or above |            |
| Reading       | 63.6%  | 67.5%   | - 3.9%     | 33.3%  | 70.5%%  | -37.2%     | 55.6%  | 68.9%   | -13.3%     |
| Writing       | 54.5%  | 55%   | - 0.5%     | 33.3%  | 63.6%   | -30.3%     | 44 %   | 62.2%   | -18.2%     |
| Maths         | 63.6%  | 65%   | - 1.4%     | 66.7%  | 79.5%   | -12.8%     | 66.7%  | 75.6%   | -8.9%      |

KS1 data nationally and locally incomplete so cannot make comparisons.

The gap between PP and Non PP has closed when comparing with last academic year but widened when compared with 2022 academic year, but this was a smaller sample of children therefore making is less comparable.

|                       | 2022   | 2022  | Difference | 2023   | 2023  | Difference | 2024   | 2024  | Difference |
|-----------------------|--|---|------------|--|---|------------|--|---|------------|
| End of<br>KS2         | Level of<br>PP pupils<br>achieving<br>expected<br>or above | Level of<br>all pupils<br>achieving<br>expected<br>or above |            | Level of<br>PP pupils<br>achieving<br>expected<br>or above | Level of<br>all pupils<br>achieving<br>expected<br>or above |            | Level of<br>PP pupils<br>achieving<br>expected<br>or above | Level of<br>all pupils<br>achieving<br>expected<br>or above |            |
| Reading<br>- School   | 57.1%  | 67.4%   | -10.3%     | 57.1%  | 73.3%   | 16.2%      | 61.5%  | 73.3%   | 11.8%      |
| Reading<br>-          |  |   |            |  |   |            | 62.6%  | 74%   | -11.4%     |
| National              |  |   |            |  |   |            |  |   |            |
| Writing -<br>School   | 46.7%  | 56.8%   | -10.1%     | 57.1%  | 71.1%   | 14%        | 69.2%  | 84.4%   | -15.2%     |
| Writing -<br>National |  |   |            |  |   |            | 58.8%  | 72%   | 13.2%      |
| Maths -<br>School     | 57.1%  | 67.4%   | -10.3%     | 64.3%  | 71.1%   | 6.8%       | 76.9%  | 84.4%   | -7.5%      |
| Maths –<br>National   |  |   |            |  |   |            | 59.4%  | 73%   | -13.6%     |

Maths & writing better than comparable groups nationally. Reading broadly in line with national.

Impact of 2023-2024 School Led Tutoring:

In year groups and subject areas where data was available to compare, in four out of 5 areas tutored, pupils who took part in School Led Tutoring made accelerated progress compared to children who did not take part in tutoring.

| Tutoring impact 2023 - 2024 – |  |
|-------------------------------|--|
| Children tutored              |  |

|                        |  | Reading   |   |                    | GPS   |  |          | Maths   |   |     |
|------------------------|--|---|---|--------------------|---|--|----------|---|---|-----|
|                        | Yr 1 data is spring 2 – sum<br>2   | At or<br>above<br>% of<br>scaled<br>scores<br>Au-<br>tumn 2 | At or<br>above<br>% of<br>scaled<br>scores<br>Sum-<br>mer 2 |                    | At or<br>above<br>% of<br>scaled<br>scores<br>Au-<br>tumn 2 | At or<br>above %<br>of scaled<br>scores<br>Summer<br>2 |          | At or<br>above %<br>of<br>scaled<br>scores<br>Autumn<br>2 | At or<br>above<br>% of<br>scaled<br>scores<br>Sum-<br>mer 2 |     |
|                        | Year 1   | n/a   | N/a   |                    | n/a   | n/a  |          | n/a   | n/a   |     |
|                        | Year 2 -   | 50%   | 83%   | <mark>+ 33%</mark> | 50%   | 50%  | =        | 56%   | 78%   | +22 |
|                        |  |   |   | <mark>+ 34%</mark> |   |  |          | 100%  |   | =   |
|                        | Year 3   | 33%   | 67%   | +18%               | N/a   | N/a  |          | (x1)  | 100%  |     |
|                        | Year 4   | 42%   | 50%   | -11%               | N/a   | N/a  | +8%      | 83%   | 83%   | -   |
|                        | Year 5   | 11%   | 0%  |                    | 21%   | 29%  |          | n/a   | n/a   |     |
|                        | Year 6   | 0%  | N/a   |                    | 0%  | n/a  |          | 100%  | n/a   |     |
|                        | Tutoring impact 2023 - 2024<br>Children not tutored                                | L—  |   |                    |   |  |          |   |   |     |
|                        |  | Reading   |   |                    | GPS   |  |          | Maths   |   |     |
|                        | Yr 1 data is spring 2 - sum<br>2   | At or<br>above<br>% of<br>scaled<br>scores<br>Au-<br>tumn 2 | At or<br>above<br>% of<br>scaled<br>scores<br>Sum-<br>mer 2 |                    | At or<br>above<br>% of<br>scaled<br>scores<br>Au-<br>tumn 2 | At or<br>above %<br>of scaled<br>scores<br>Summer<br>2 |          | At or<br>above %<br>of<br>scaled<br>scores<br>Autumn<br>2 | At or<br>above<br>% of<br>scaled<br>scores<br>Sum-<br>mer 2 |     |
|                        | <u>Year 1</u>  | n/a   | 67%   |                    | n/a   | 67%  |          | n/a   | 67%   |     |
|                        | Year 2   | 60%   | 67%   | +7%                | 66%   | 73%  | +7%      | 74%   | 78%   | +4  |
|                        | Year 3   | 74%   | 81%   | +7%                | 83%   | 80%  | -3%      | 82%   | 69%   | -13 |
|                        | Year 4   | 63%   | 66%   | +3%                | 66%   | 61%  | -5%      | 60%   | 63%   | +3  |
|                        | Year 5   | 73%   | 67%   | -6%                | 84%   | 64%  | -20%     | 73%   | 69%   | -4  |
|                        | Year 6   | 62%   | N/a   |                    | 61%   | n/a  |          | 59%   | n/a   |     |
| Intended<br>outcome 3: | Across the school we<br>to work on. The lack                                       |   |   |                    |   |  |          |   | ntinue  |     |
| Pupil                  | progress PP children make in all areas of the curriculum our main strategy that    |   |   |                    |   |  |          |   |   |     |
| Premium                | was planned to be implemented was delayed and this will now feature on the next    |   |   |                    |   |  |          |   |   |     |
| pupils oracy           | Pupil Premium Strategy therefore the progress we have made has been limited.       |   |   |                    |   |  |          |   |   |     |
| skills are             | During the previous academic year we spent time beginning to formulate a plan for  |   |   |                    |   |  |          |   |   |     |
| more in line           | the next academic year. We have appointed an Oracy Lead who has begun to           |   |   |                    |   |  |          |   |   |     |
| with their             | undertake monitoring across the school of the oracy skills of pupils alongside the |   |   |                    |   |  |          |   |   |     |
| peers and              | delivery of oracy strategies. Alongside the Pupil Premium Leader, they will both   |   |   |                    |   |  |          |   |   |     |
| therefore              | undertake additional training as part of the Voice 21 programme allowing the       |   |   |                    |   |  |          |   |   |     |
| impacting              | Oracy Lead & Pupil I   | -   |   |                    |   |  |          | -   |   |     |
| •                      |  |   |   |                    | •   |  | • •      | • •   |   |     |
| positively on          | staff across the scho  |   | nk loge   |                    | ienver a  | cumcult  | un desig | jneu lo s   | upport  |     |
| their reading          |  |   |   |                    |   |  |          |   |   |     |

| and writing                          | oracy and ther  | efore su | pport the aca   | demic p  | orogress | attainment o    | f PP and  | Non PP |  |
|--------------------------------------|---|----------|-----------------|----------|----------|-----------------|-----------|--------|--|
| skills.                              | children.   |          |                 |          |          |                 |           |        |  |
| Limited<br>progress has<br>been made |   |          |                 |          |          |                 |           |        |  |
| towards                              |   |          |                 |          |          |                 |           |        |  |
| outcome                              |   |          |                 |          |          |                 |           |        |  |
| however this                         |   |          |                 |          |          |                 |           |        |  |
| will still                           |   |          |                 |          |          |                 |           |        |  |
| continue to                          |   |          |                 |          |          |                 |           |        |  |
| feature on the                       |   |          |                 |          |          |                 |           |        |  |
| SDP alongside the Pupil              |   |          |                 |          |          |                 |           |        |  |
| Premium                              |   |          |                 |          |          |                 |           |        |  |
| Strategy.                            |   |          |                 |          |          |                 |           |        |  |
| - 37                                 |   |          |                 |          |          |                 |           |        |  |
| Intended                             | Phonics screen<br>check   | 22/23    | 22/23           | 22/23    | 23/24    | 23/24           | 23/24     |        |  |
| outcome 4:                           |   | School   | Nottinghamshire | National | School   | Nottinghamshire | National  |        |  |
| Pupil Premium                        | Yr 1 All pupils   | 64.4%    | 80.4%           | 79%      | 72.1%    | 81.1%           | 80%       |        |  |
| pupils leaving                       | pass  |          |                 |          |          |                 |           |        |  |
| KS1 phonics                          | Yr 1 PP Pass  | 55.6%    | 67.8%           | 66.8%    | 60%      | 66.4%           | 68.4%     |        |  |
| skills are more<br>in line with      | By end of Yr 2 All  | 93.2%    | 90.1%           | 89%      | 84.1%    | 90.5%           | Not       |        |  |
| their peers.                         | pupils pass   |          |                 |          |          |                 | available |        |  |
| -                                    | By end of Yr 2 PP   | 83.3%    | 83%             | 81%      | 88.9%    | 82.9%           | Not       |        |  |
|                                      | Pass  |          |                 |          |          |                 | available |        |  |
|                                      | Comparing last years phonics data, there is an improvement in the pass rate for     |          |                 |          |          |                 |           |        |  |
|                                      | Pupil Premium pupils both at the Yr 1 screen and Yr 2 retake. There is also a       |          |                 |          |          |                 |           |        |  |
| Some                                 | reduction in the gap between PP Yr 1 children in our school compared to             |          |                 |          |          |                 |           |        |  |
| progress has been made               | Nottinghamshi   |          |                 |          |          |                 |           |        |  |
| towards                              | fact that whilst these gaps are closing between PP and Non PP pupils there is still |          |                 |          |          |                 |           |        |  |
| outcome                              | a significant gap that we will focus on in the next PP strategy.                    |          |                 |          |          |                 |           |        |  |
| however this                         | Additional Phonics' booster sessions were implemented for lower attainting pupils   |          |                 |          |          |                 |           |        |  |
| will still                           | and these proved to be effective in closing the gaps. Implementing these earlier in |          |                 |          |          |                 |           |        |  |
| continue to                          | the academic year may prove beneficial to close gaps.                               |          |                 |          |          |                 |           |        |  |
| feature on the                       |   |          |                 |          |          |                 |           |        |  |
| SDP alongside                        |   |          |                 |          |          |                 |           |        |  |
| the Pupil<br>Premium                 |   |          |                 |          |          |                 |           |        |  |
| Strategy.                            |   |          |                 |          |          |                 |           |        |  |
|                                      |   |          |                 |          |          |                 |           |        |  |

#### **Externally provided programmes**

| Programme | Provider |
|-----------|----------|
| n/a       |          |

### Service pupil premium funding (optional)

#### How our service pupil premium allocation was spent last academic year

Additional tutoring sessions

Enrichment visit from author and book provided free of charge

Attended external providers Science Enrichment Club free of charge

#### The impact of that spending on service pupil premium eligible pupils

The pupil attended a workshop session with a visiting author that they were excited to attend. They were enthusiastic and engaged throughout the session and was given a book purchased using the PP budget to keep and take home.

Using PP funding the child was able to attend a series of 6 science enrichment sessions during which they were very engaged and enthusiastic.

They attended a series of additional small group tutoring sessions led by a qualified tutor to develop their writing skills. They were engaged during the sessions and showed an improvement in their skills.